IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,	\$	
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$	
v.	\$	1:24-CV-27-DII
DANIEL TOSHNER, et al.,	\$ \$	
Defendants.	8	

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge

Dustin Howell concerning Defendants' motion to transfer, (Dkt. 28). (R. & R., Dkt. 37). Pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District

Court for the Western District of Texas, Judge Howell issued his report and recommendation on

October 28, 2024, and it was filed onto the docket the following day. (*Id.*). As of the date of this

order, no party has filed objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate's report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note ("When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.").

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 37), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' motion to transfer, (Dkt. 28), is **DENIED**.

SIGNED on November 13, 2024.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE